MARK E. DAVIS—BAR NO.79936 1 IT IS SO ORDERED DAVIS & YOUNG, APLC 1960 The Alameda, Suite 210 2 San Jose, CA 95126 Phone: 408.244.2166 3 408.244.7815 Fax: Judge James 4 5 Attorneys for Defendants OAK GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT, MANNY BARBARA, KATHERINE 6 BAKER, RICHARD HOLTERMANN, TAMARA UNCK, RISA QUON, NANCY 7 LETTENBERGER, JACQUELINE ADAMS, JEREMY NISHIHARA, DIANNE LEMKE and DENNIS 9 **HAWKINS** 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 13 IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT 15 16 J.J., a minor, by and through his No. C 08 05376 JW Guardian ad litem, Robert M. Vantress, 17 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE 18 Plaintiff, **DEADLINES FOR DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS** AND TO EXTEND DISCOVERY 19 VS. Complaint Filed: November 26, 2008 OAK GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT, a Trial Date: TBD: 20 public entity school district, et al., 21 Defendants. 22 23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, between Plaintiff 24 J.J., a minor, by and through his appointed Guardian ad Litem, Robert M. Vantress and 25 counsel for Defendants Oak Grove School District, Manny Barbara, Katherine Baker, 26 Richard Holtermann, Tamara Unck, Risa Quon, Nancy Lettenberger, Jacqueline Adams, 27

 Jeremy Nishihara, Dianne Lemke and Dennis Hawkins, and counsel for Defendants

Deanna Mouser and Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo, that:

- 1. The court ordered last day for hearing on dispositive motions for any party is currently set for September 24, 2012, (Document200), which is impractical now given that discovery is not complete, and given the retirement of Judge Ware from the case and the absence of a reassignment of this case to a new judge. So that such motions can be heard on regular notice, and discovery may be completed, the parties hereby stipulate that said motion be noticed in accordance with the Civil Local Rules of this Court and that that the hearing date be continued from September 24, 2012, to October 29, 2012, or until such date as the newly assigned judge may schedule, or thereafter, as set forth below, and that said motion be noticed in accordance with the new hearing date.
- 2. The new deadline for the hearing of such dispositive motions shall be further extended if, by the deadline for filing and service of such motions, the case has not been reassigned. If the case has not been reassigned by that time, the deadline to file and serve such motions shall be on the 30<sup>th</sup> calendar day following notice of the reassignment of this case, or the first date following such date when filing and service is required by the deadline for such motions required by the Court after reassignment, whichever is later. The parties hereto stipulate to cooperate with each other to seek a reassignment of this case at the earliest time for the convenience of the Court.
- 3. The parties have been involved in numerous depositions of the relevant parties and witnesses in this case. However, because of calendaring conflicts, vacations, and /or the inability to complete certain depositions on the scheduled date or disputes as to the deposition of Defendant Deanna Mouser, the parties have not been able to complete all of the depositions that had previously been scheduled. All parties agree that it will be necessary to complete these depositions before dispositive motions can be filed and the parties stipulate to extend discovery to complete discovery which has already been initiated or noticed. The foregoing agreement to extend the deadline for filing, serving, and

hearing dispositive motions is not and is not to be construed to be a waiver of any objection 1 to any notice of deposition or to any demand for production of documents. 2 3 4. The court recently vacated the Preliminary Pretrial Conference set for June 25, 2012, (Document 209) and has not reset the Preliminary Pretrial Conference pending 4 5 the filing of defendants' dispositive motions. Therefore, no party will be prejudiced by the short continuance of the hearing on and filing of defendants' dispositive motions. 6 THEREFORE, the parties agree and stipulate, through their respective counsel, as 7 set forth above. 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 9 DATED: August 17, 2012 10 VANTRESS LAW GROUP 11 12 Bv /s/ 13 Robert M. Vantress Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 J.J., a minor 15 **DATED:** August 17, 2012 DAVIS & YOUNG, APLC 16 17 By /s/ 18 Mark E. Davis Attorneys for Defendants 19 OAK GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT, MANNY BARBARA, KATHERINE 20 BAKER, RICHARD HOLTERMANN, TAMARA UNCK, RISA QUON, 21 NANCY LETTENBERGER, JACQUELINE ADAMS, JEREMY 22 NISHIHARA, DIANNE LEMKE and **DENNIS HAWKINS** 23 24 25 26 27 {71802/00176261-1}

1	DATED: August 17, 2012
2	ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, et al.
3	Dry /o/
4	By <u>/s/</u> Christopher Andre
5	Christopher Andre Attorneys for Defendants DEANNA J. MOUSER and
6	ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, RUUD & ROMO
7	
8	<u>ORDER</u>
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	DATED: August 20, 2012
11	BY: James Wase
12	HONORABLE JAMES WARE
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	(74900/00476064.4)